SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 PUBLIC LAW 110-343

TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM USDA FOREST SERVICE

Name of Resource Advisory Committee:

Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official):

Funding Fiscal Year(s): 2011 or 2012

2. Project Name: Forest Service Road 2922 Culvert Replacements	3a. State: Washington 3b. County(s): Clallam
4. Project Submitted By: Phil De Cillis	5. Date: 3/29/11
6. Contact Phone Number: 360-374-1237	7. Contact E-mail: pdecillis@fs.fed.us

8. Project Location:			
a. National Forest(s): Olympic NF	b. Forest Service District: Pacific Ranger District		
c. Location (Township-Range-Section) T29N, R11W, Sections 15, 22			

9. Project Goals and Objectives:

Replace failing infrastructure on the FSR 2922 road at MP 2.8, 2.9, and 4.3, in order to maintain a sound transportation system, and protect water quality and anadromous fish habitat in the North Fork Calawah mainstem.

10. Project Description:

a. Brief: Replace up to 3 undersized, deteriorating culverts, located on FSR 2922, with properly sized culverts meeting Northwest Forest Plan standards for Q100 discharge and debris passage.

b. Detailed:

The culverts are located on 2 small unnamed tributaries on the hillside directly above anadromous fish habitat in the North Fork Calawah River. The Calawah Watershed Restoration Action Plan identified the FS 2922 road as a high priority for restoration in the Calawah Focus Watershed collaborative planning. Participants in the collaborative group included the Quileute tribe, the City of Forks, residents of the local communities of Forks and Port Angeles, PCSC, the Olympic National Forest, the Wild Salmon Center, the Sierra Club and representatives of Clallam County government. High priority restoration work for the FS 2922 road included the replacement of a number of large, undersized, deteriorating culverts, with the highest priority given to those that could directly impact anadromous fish habitat. This section of the North Fork Calawah River is considered as "significant spawning habitat" for winter steelhead, fall coho and cutthroat trout. (North Fork Calawah Watershed Analysis 1997). A culvert failure would deliver thousands of cubic yards of fine and coarse sediment to anadromous fish habitat in the mainstem.

Version: January 2009

11. Types of Lands Involved?			
State/Private/Other lands involved? Yes X No Land Status:			
If Yes, specify:			
12. How does the proposed project meet purpo	ses of the Legislation? (Check at least 1)		
X Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.			
X Implements stewardship objectives that enhance fo	rest ecosystems.		
Restores and improves land health.			
Restores water quality			
a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1)			
X Road Maintenance	☐ Trail Maintenance		
Road Decommission/Obliteration	☐ Trail Obliteration		
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):			
Soil Productivity Improvement	Forest Health Improvement		
X Watershed Restoration & Maintenance	☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration		
Fish Habitat Restoration	Control of Noxious Weeds		
Reestablish Native Species	☐ Fuels Management/Fire Prevention		
Implement CWPP Project	Other Project Type (specify):		
b. Primary Purpose (select only 1):			
14. Identify What the Project Will Accomplish	1		
Miles of road maintained: Up to 1.5 miles			
Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated:			
Number of structures maintained/improved: Up to 3 Culverts			
Acres of soil productivity improved:			
Miles of stream/river restored/improved:			
Miles of fish habitat restored/improved:			
Acres of native species reestablished:			
Miles of trail maintained:			
Miles of trial obliterated:			

Acres of forest health improved (including fuels redu	ction):		
Acres of rangeland improved:			
Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved:			
Acres of noxious weeds controlled:			
Timber volume generated:			
Jobs generated in full time equivalents (FTE) to neare	est tenth. One FTE is 52 forty hour weeks:		
People reached (for environmental education projects	s/fire prevention):		
Direct economic activity benefit:			
Other:			
15. Estimated Project Start Date: 7/1/2012	16. Estimated Project Completion Date: 9/30/2012		
The Calawah Watershed Collaborative Planning Group identified the FS 2922 road as a high priority for restoration. Participants in the collaborative group included the Quileute tribe, the City of Forks, residents of the local communities of Forks and Port Angeles, PCSC, the Olympic National Forest, the Wild Salmon Center, the Sierra Club and representatives of Clallam County government. A Salmon Restoration Funding Board proposal will be submitted to replace the largest, most expensive failing culvert on the 2922 Road at MP 2.3. 18. Identify benefits to communities. The long term success of the project will provide a stable road system for the Forest Service and Rayonier Timberland Company to access and manage their forest lands in the Calawah watershed. Timber harvesting on National Forest and private timberlands benefit the economies of local communities. The FS 2922 road provides the public with recreational opportunities such as gathering forest products and firewood, hunting on public lands and wildlife viewing. 19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources?			
20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment? (check at least 1)			
X Contract	X Federal Workforce		
County Workforce	Volunteers		
Grant	Agreement		
Americorps	☐ YCC/CCC Crews		
☐ Job Corps	Stewardship Contract		
Merchantable Timber Pilot	Other (specify):		

21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?

Yes

X No

22. Anticipated Project Costs					
a. Title II Funds Requested: \$77,900.00					
If funding is not available to replace the largest culvert, partial funding of \$58,700 would be sufficient to					
replace the two smaller culverts at MP 2.8 and 2.9.					
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes X No					
23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding:					
24. Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment)					
a. Provide a plan that describes your process for tracks on your environmental and community goals outlined					
b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring:					
c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified	monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k):				
25. Identify remedies for failure to comply with the term If project cannot be completed under the terms of this agreed Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account. Other, please explain:					
Project Recommended By: /s/ (INSERT Signature) Chairperson	Project Approved By: /s/ (INSERT Signature) Forest Supervisor				
Resource Advisory Committee	National Forest				

Project Cost Analysis Worksheet

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Column B Requested Title II Contribution	Column C Other Contributions	Column D Total Available Funds
a. Field Work & Site Surveys				
b. NEPA/CEQA		5,000.00		5,000.00
c. ESA Consultation				
d. Permit Acquisition				
e. Project Design & Engineering		7,100.00		7,100.00
f. Contract/Grant Preparation				
g. Contract/Grant Administration		6,000.00		6,000.00
h. Contract/Grant Cost		59,800.00		59,800.00
i. Salaries				
j. Materials & Supplies				
k. Monitoring				
1. Other				
m. Project Sub-Total				
n. Indirect Costs				
o. Total Cost Estimate		77,900.00		77,900.00

NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- 1. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- n. Contracting/Grant Officer costs, if needed, are included as part of Indirect
 Costs.